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## Targeted Oncology Platform: Virus-Like Drug Conjugates (VDCs)
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## AU-011 is a VDC with a Novel Dual Mechanism of Action



Potential Key Differentiation: Physical Ablation May
Reduce Risk to Develop Resistance and is Genetic Mutation Agnostic
Kines et al; Cancer Immunology Research, May 2021

## Phase 1b/2 IVT - Study Design

## Single Dose Cohorts

3 subjects per cohort (12 total)


## Phase 1b/2 - Key Patient Populations and Objectives

All Subjects Enrolled with Clinical Diagnosis of ILs or Choroidal Melanoma

Safety Evaluation (All Treated)


All Treated Subjects


Efficacy Evaluation Growth

Small Tumors with Active Growth Treated at All Doses

## Small Tumors with Active

Small Tumors with Active Growth Treated with 2 Cycles

> ex ex

## Primary Objective: Safety

- Drug or treatment related adverse events (AEs) / serious adverse events (SAEs)


## Secondary Objective: Efficacy

- Tumor thickness growth rate before and after treatment
- Local tumor control
- Visual acuity preservation


## Safety: AU-011 is Well Tolerated

## Majority of Adverse Events (AEs) are transient and managed with standard of care treatment

| All Treated Subjects ( $\mathrm{n}=56$ ) <br> Key Treatment Related Adverse Events $(\geq 10 \%$ <br> Subjects) | Grade I | Grade II | Grade III | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vitreous Inflammation | 25.0\% | 58.9\%* | 7.1\% | 91.0\% |
| Anterior Chamber Inflammation | 37.5\% | 30.4\% | 3.6\% | 71.5\% |
| Increase in Intraocular Pressure | 21.4\% | 25.0\% | 0 | 46.4\% |
| Peritumoral RPE/ Pigmentary Changes | 32.1\% | 5.4\% | 0 | 37.5\% |
| Keratic Precipitates | 21.4\% | 1.8\% | 0 | 23.2\% |
| Floaters/ Vitreous Opacity | 16.1\% | 3.6\% | 1.8\%* | 21.4\% |
| Decreased Visual Acuity/ Vision Loss | 7.1\% | 12.5\% | $1.8 \%{ }^{\wedge}$ | 21.4\% |
| Eye Pain/ Soreness | 8.9\% | 5.4\% | 0 | 14.3\% |
| Corneal Abrasion/ Epithelial Defect | 1.8\% | 8.9\% | 0 | 10.7\% |
| Corneal Edema | 10.7\% | 0 | 0 | 10.7\% |

## Treatment Related Serious Adverse Events (n=56)

Vision Loss (juxtafoveal tumor)
3.6\%

## Phase 1b/2 - Visual Acuity was Preserved in Majority of Subjects

| Vision Preservation Rates <br> Follow up 12 months |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Populations | Total Patients ( n ) | Vision Preservation Rate (12 months) Failure: Long term loss $\geq 15$ letters |
| All Dose Cohorts |  |  |
| All Treated Subjects | 56 | 86\% (48/56)* |
| Small Tumors/Active Growth | 20 | 80\% (16/20)* |
| Small Tumors/Active Growth - High Risk for Vision Loss | 17 | 76\% (13/17)* |
| Therapeutic Regimen ( 2 cycles) |  |  |
| Small Tumors/Active Growth | 14 | 71\% ( 10/14)* |

*1 subject had loss $\geq 15$ letters at Week 52 visit which recovered within 15 letters at the next visit which was $\sim 3$ weeks after standard of care (SOC); all other post-SOC data excluded for all subjects

## Phase 1b/2 - Statistically Significant Growth Rate Reduction



| Change in Tumor Growth Follow up 12 months |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | Historical Growth Rate (mm/yr) | AU-011 Growth Rate (mm/yr) 12 months | Growth Rate Reduction (mm/yr) | p-value |
| All Dose Cohorts |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small Tumors with Active Growth | 20 | 0.863 | 0.134 | -0.729 | 0.0006 |
| Therapeutic Regimen (2 Cycles) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small Tumors with Active Growth | 14 | 0.555 | 0.072 | -0.483 | 0.0180 |

Tumor thickness growth rates/ slopes estimated using MMRM

## Reduction in Tumor Growth Rate is Statistically Significant Supports Planned Pivotal Trial Endpoint

## Phase 1b/2 - Tumor Control Achieved in Most Patients



Change from Baseline in Tumor Thickness Over 12 Months
Progression Definition Tumor Height Increase $>0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$

Tumor Control Rates 12 months

| Populations | Total <br> Patients <br> (n) | Tumor Control <br> Rate <br> (at 12 months) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| All Dose Cohorts | 56 | $54 \%(30 / 56)$ |
| All Treated Patients | 20 | $60 \%(12 / 20)$ |
| Small Tumors with Active Growth |  |  |
| Therapeutic Regimen (2 Cycles) | 14 | $64 \%(9 / 14)$ |
| Small Tumors with Active Growth |  |  |

Post-SOC data excluded
Tumor control failure (progression): Growth from baseline in Tumor Height $>0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ or LBD $>1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ due to definitive Tumor Growth (ie, not judged by the Investigator to be due to inflammation/swelling, hemorrhage or pigmentary changes) and not treated with standard of care

## Summary of Ph1b/2 IVT 12 Month Clinical Results

| Safety | AU-011 was well tolerated with the majority of AEs transient and managed with the standard of care. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Visual Acuity | Visual acuity preservation rate of $71-86 \%$ even in subjects with tumors close to the fovea or optic disk |
| Tumor Control | Tumor Control rate of $64 \%$ in subjects treated with the therapeutic regimen |
| mor Thickness Growth Rate | Statistically significant reduction in tumor growth rates with many subjects near or below zero ( $\mathrm{p}<0.02$ ) |
| Durability of Response | All subjects in follow up Registry Trial treated only with AU-011 have stable vision and no local progression of disease ( up to over 2 years follow up) |
| Route of Administration | Ph 1b/2 IVT: Positive data allows the start of the pivotal trial Ph 2 SC: Demonstrated initial safety and tolerability of SC Administration Study ongoing |

## Pivotal Trial Design in Alignment with FDA and EMA

## Fast Track and Orphan Designations



## Primary Endpoint

- Tumor Growth Rate at 12 months:
- Analysis will compare the growth rates between Intervention Group (High Dose) and Sham Group


## Key Secondary Endpoint

- Composite time to event analysis at 12 months:
- Disease progression or visual acuity failure between Intervention Group (High Dose) and Sham Group


## Adaptive Design Optimizes Probability of Success to <br> Potentially Advance AU-011 in a Rare Disease with a High Unmet Medical Need
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